	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/648,380	TAMAI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chirag R. Patel	2141		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	S	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become a	IICATION. A reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			<b>S</b>	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•	
•	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		itters, prosecution as to the me	rits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.		·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· ·		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri-	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stag	je	
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	ot received.		
Attachment(s)	. 🗖			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	· —	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2141

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As per claims 13-20, "an e-mail transmission apparatus" is not embodied on a recordable medium that meet a statutory category of invention. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 9, 11-16 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chang et al. – hereinafter Chang (US 5,974,449).

As per claims 1, 2, 4, 13-14, 16, 21-22, and 24, Chang discloses a recording medium in which a program for making a computer execute processing, the processing, comprising:

Art Unit: 2141

detecting a recipient's domain name of an e-mail to be transmitted with data attached to the e-mail from a recipient's address of the e-mail; detecting a recipient's server based on the detected recipient's domain name; (Col 17 line 1 – Col 18 line 8, Figure 14)

detecting a response time of the detected recipient's server; (Col 7 line 62 – Col 8 line 11, functionality of 'ping')

deciding a format of the data to be attached to the e-mail depending on the detected response time; (Col 17 lines 40-45, Fig. 14: item 1414)

formatting the data into the decided format; and transmitting the data formatted into the decided format to the recipient's address as an attachment of the e-mail. (Col 17 line 1 – Col 18 line 8)

As per claims 3, 15, and 23, Chang discloses a recording medium in which a program for making a computer execute processing, the processing, comprising:

receiving a transmission instruction of an e-mail to be transmitted with data attached to the e-mail: detecting a recipient's domain name of the e-mail from a recipient's address of the e-mail based on the received transmission instruction; (Col 17 line 1 – Col 18 line 8, Figure 14)

detecting a recipient's server based on the detected recipient's domain name; searching a route to the detected server; (Col 17 line 1 – Col 18 line 8) discriminating whether a relay server having a prescribed property exists on the detected route; (Col 17 line 1 – Col 18 line 8)

Art Unit: 2141

deciding a format of the data to be attached to the e-mail depending on the discriminated result; (Col 17 line 1 – Col 18 line 8)

formatting the data into the decided format; and transmitting the data formatted into the decided format to the recipient's address as an attachment of the e-mail. (Col 17 line 1 – Col 18 line 8)

As per claim 9, Chang discloses the recording medium as recited in claim 1, wherein the program stored in the recording medium makes the computer discriminate whether the recipient belongs to the same organization of a sender based on the detected recipient's domain name and decide a format of the data to be attached to the e-mail based on the discriminated result. (Col 17 lines 40-45)

As per claims 11, 12, and 19-20 Chang discloses the recording medium as recited in claim 2, wherein the response time is detected by executing a connection status searching command against the detected server. (Col 7 line 62 – Col 8 line 11, functionality of 'ping')

As per claim 18, Chang discloses the e-mail transmission apparatus as recited in claim 13, further comprising an original document reading apparatus to obtain image data by reading an original document, wherein the image data read by the original document reading apparatus is transmitted as attached data of the e-mail. (Col 1 lines 42-52)

**Art Unit: 2141** 

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (US 5,974,449) in view of Mai et al. (US 2006/0242311).

As per claims 5-8 and 17, Chang discloses the recording medium as recited in claim 1the program stored in the recording medium makes the computer decide the format of the data every recipient and format the data into respective decided formats. (Col 17 line 1 – Col 18 line 8) Chang fails to disclose where the data is transmitted simultaneously to a plurality of recipients. Mai discloses where the data is transmitted simultaneously to a plurality of recipients. ([0010]) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to where the data is transmitted simultaneously to a plurality of recipients in the disclosure of Chang. The motivation for doing do would have been to deliver IP multicast content to users via a non-multicast enabled network. ([0007])

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (US 5,974,449) in view of Dunnion et al. – hereinafter Dunnion (US 2002/0199119).

Art Unit: 2141

As per claim 10, Chang discloses the recording medium as recited in claim 9, wherein, in cases where it is discriminated that the recipient belongs to an organization different from an organization of the sender. (Col 17 lines 40-45) Chang fails to disclose the program stored in the recording medium makes the computer format the data into a format which is more difficult to edit the data than a format which is used to transmit the data to the same organization. Dunnion discloses the program stored in the recording medium makes the computer format the data into a format which is more difficult to edit the data than a format which is used to transmit the data to the same organization. ([0123], Table 4, Mail Commands, "Convert form MIME format into proprietary format for efficient transmission." At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to format the data into a format which is more difficult to edit the data than a format which is used to transmit the data to the same organization in the disclosure of Chang. The motivation for doing do would have been to provide for improved security for email communication. ([0027])

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chirag R. Patel whose telephone number is (571)272-7966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM.

Art Unit: 2141

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

RUPAL DHARIA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7